

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/725,117	MAUK ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Catherine Simone	1772	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Catherine Simone.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Doug Winters.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9/22/06 & 10/16/06

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, 7, 8, 10, 12, 16, 19 and 20

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Cancelling claims 3-5, 9, 13, 14, 23, 26 and 28-34 in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Also, in specification, paragraph 1, line 1 delete "continuation-in-part" and insert "continuation". Agreed to make changes in Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)